

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Prient and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virgina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLĮCATION NO.	Ti Ti	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/053,241	i	01/16/2002	Partho Sarkar	GOWLVANI.001 AUS	3920	
20995	7590	09/10/2003				
		NS OLSON & BE	EXAMINER			
2040 MAIN FOURTEE	NTH FLOO	OR	KALAFUT, STEPHEN J			
IRVINE, C	A 92614			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			,	1745	\$	
		•		DATE MAILED: 09/10/2003	U	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			A
	1	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)
		10/053,241	SARKAR, PARTHO
	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit
		Stephen J. Kalafut	1745
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication for Reply	appears n the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
THE - Extraplete - If th - If N - Fail - Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by start reply received by the Office later than three months after the manned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply within the statutory minimum of the did will apply and will expire SIX (6) Motatute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	,	
2a) <u></u> ☐	- · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is non-final.	
3) Disposit	Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und tion of Claims	owance except for formal m ler <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 (atters, prosecution as to the merits is C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)🖾	Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the applicat	tion.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	frawn from consideration.	
5)⊠	Claim(s) 1-29 is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) 30-42 is/are rejected.		
7)🛛	Claim(s) 40-41 is/are objected to.		
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.	•
Applicat	tion Papers		
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to		• •
11)[_]	The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.
40\□	If approved, corrected drawings are required in		
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.	
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)∟	•	eign priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)) All b) Some * c) None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume		
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume		
* ;	3. Copies of the certified copies of the paper application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for a I	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	,
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	•	
a	a) The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	provisional application has	been received.
Attachmer			
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice o	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 1745

Claims 30-38 and 40-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The phrase "an electrically an ionically conductive ceramic material" in claim 33 is unclear, as to whether is requires a single material to have both types of conductivity, or a mixture of materials, each having one type of conductivity. Claims 31-38, 40 and 41 depend from claim 30, and would likewise be indefinite. There is no antecedent for "the inner membrane" in claim 41, or in its parent claims 30 and 36. Should claim 41 depend of claim 39? Claim 42 is drawn to a fuel cell, but depends from claim 27, which is drawn to a method. Should claim 42 depend from claim 39? If claims 41 and 42 should depend from claim 39, the term "fuel cell" should be changed to "membrane", to agree with claim 39.

Claims 40 and 41 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 40 recites the same shapes as in claim 33.

Claim 41 recites the same materials as in claim 36.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 10/053,241

Art Unit: 1745

Claims 30-32, 34-39 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sammes *et al.* (US 2002/0028367).

Sammes *et al.* disclose a hollow solid oxide fuel cell (100) which includes a central fuel electrode (160) made of a mixture of ion-conductive zirconia and an electrically conductive metal such as Ni, Pd or Cu (sections 0015 and 0017); an electrolyte such as yttria-stabilized zirconia, doped LaCoO₃ or doped La[CoFe]O₃ (section 0020); and an outer air electrode made of strontia-doped LaMnO₃, mixed with yttria-stabilized zirconia (section 0046), thus including electrically and ionically conductive materials. Recitations of how these components were made are treated under product-by-process practice, *in re Fitzgerald* 205 USPQ 594, and are thus not given patentable weight, since they are process limitations in product claims. When the electrolyte is a doped lanthanum (optionally with iron) compound, it would be different from the YSZ in the cathode. When the electrolyte is YSZ, it would be a solid body, while the YSZ in the cathode is porous, being mixed with the strontia-doped LaMnO₃. The fuel electrode would also constitute an inner membrane, with the electrolyte being the outer membrane.

Claims 30-32, 34, 36-39, 41 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Song *et al.* (US 6,436,565).

Song et al. disclose a hollow solid oxide fuel cell (1) which includes a central fuel electrode (2) made of a mixture of ion-conductive zirconia and the electrically conductive metal nickel (column 2, lines 14-20); an electrolyte such as yttria-stabilized zirconia (column 5, lines 12-20); and an outer air electrode made of strontia-doped LaMnO₃ (column 5, lines 51-57). Since this is the same air electrode material as presently claimed, it would inherently exhibit the

Application/Control Number: 10/053,241

Art Unit: 1745

same properties, such as having both ionic and electrical conductivity. The ceramic materials for the electrolyte and the cathode are thus different. Recitations of how these components were made are treated under product-by-process practice, *in re Fitzgerald* 205 USPQ 594, and are thus not given patentable weight, since they are process limitations in product claims. The fuel electrode would also be an inner membrane, with the electrolyte being the outer membrane.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 33 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Sammes *et al.* or Song *et al.*, each in view of Kendall (US 5,190,834).

These claims differ from Sammes et al. and Song et al. by reciting that the fuel cell may be helical, serpentine, or U-shaped. Kendall discloses a solid oxide fuel cell which may have a serpentine shape (figure 3), which includes U-shaped turns. Because this would allow a long fuel cell to occupy a space in which no single dimension is as long as its axial length, it would be obvious to shape the tubular fuel cells of Sammes et al. or Song et al. in the serpentine shape shown by Kendall.

Claims 1-29 are allowed. The prior art applied above, or cited either below or by applicants, does not disclose the present process of making a fuel cell or membrane, where an

Application/Control Number: 10/053,241

Art Unit: 1745

electronically conductive material including metal is deposited onto a conductive combustible core, which is later removed by burning. Claim 42 is so indefinite to preclude a meaningful evaluation of its patentability.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Denton (US 5,385,700) discloses a method of making a ceramic part for a rechargeable battery, in which particulate material is adhered to a core and then sintered, which is then removed by being vaporized or burnt. Sarkar *et al.* (US 2003/0134171) disclose a tubular fuel cell with a porous metal support. Nagata *et al.* (Japanese 9-283,161) disclose a method for making a tubular support similar to the present method, but using the air electrode as the central support onto which an electrolyte is deposited.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Figure 5 is too dark and thus has no contrast. Determining its content, including its reference numerals, cannot be done. Appropriate correction is required.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen J. Kalafut whose telephone number is 703-308-0433. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00 am-4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan can be reached on 703-308-2383. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

ài ·

Application/Control Number: 10/053,241

Art Unit: 1745

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

sjk

THE COUNTY TO D

Page 6